



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,564	09/03/2003	Adriana Dumitras	18602-08204	6437
61520 APPLE/FENW	7590 05/21/2007 ICK		EXAMINER	
SILLICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET			FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G	
	/IEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			N. W. D. ED	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

4

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/655,564		DUMITRAS ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Christopher Findley		2621	

	Christopher Findley	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a N wing replies: (1) an amendr stice of Appeal (with appeal	lotice of Appeal. To avoid ab ment, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from t (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	he mailing date of the final rejec	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r than three months after the n	amount of the fee. The appropreption and appropression of the final Of	oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of the appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search ( w);	(see NOTE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	corresponding number of f		g the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Non Compliant Amandment	- (DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFK 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		eparate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.		o) ⊠ will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und y and was not earlier prese	ler appeal and/or appellant fa ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or attac	ched.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	it does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowa	ance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	· ·	
	·	PRIMARY EXENT	EF.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All of the limitations have been previously addressed in the Final Rejection dated March 2, 2007. Therefore, the applicant's arguments are deemed not to be persuasive.